

VOLUNTEER SERVICE, IOWA COMMISSION ON[817]

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 817—Chapters 8 through 12
“Iowa Commission on Volunteer Service Chapter Rescissions”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 15H
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Executive Order 10

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

May 19, 2026
10 a.m.

Microsoft Teams
Meeting ID: 255 692 208 678 60
Passcode: vo97ff7g

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Department of Health and Human Services no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Victoria L. Daniels
321 East 12th Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Phone: 515.829.6021
Email: compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

This proposed rulemaking is the result of the Red Tape Review process outlined in Executive Order 10. As a result of the review, the Iowa Commission on Volunteer Service (Commission) determined that the content of Chapter 8, for which there was no explicit rulemaking authority, was better suited for policy due to its procedural nature. In addition, the content of Chapters 9 through 12 was combined with 817—Chapter 14 to create a unified chapter on all National Service Corps projects. That proposed rulemaking is also published herein (RA 26-59, IAB 4/29/26).

Analysis of Impact

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**
 - **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**
There are no costs associated with this proposed rulemaking.
 - **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**
No one will benefit from this proposed rulemaking, but neither will anyone be harmed.
2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**
 - **Quantitative description of impact:**
Five Iowa Administrative Code chapters are proposed to be rescinded.
 - **Qualitative description of impact:**

Rule content has been condensed and combined for efficiency.

3. Costs to the State:

• **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

There are no costs associated with this proposed rulemaking.

• **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

This proposed rulemaking has no effect on State revenues.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

If this proposed rulemaking were not to occur, one chapter for which there is no rulemaking authority and four chapters that have duplicative content would continue to exist.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

Chapter rescissions are appropriate.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

• **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

The Commission could have retained all five of the impacted chapters.

• **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

This proposed rulemaking promotes the intent of Executive Order 10.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

• Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.

• Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

• Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

• Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.

• Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

This proposed rulemaking has no impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **817—Chapter 8.**

ITEM 2. Rescind and reserve **817—Chapter 9.**

ITEM 3. Rescind and reserve **817—Chapter 10.**

ITEM 4. Rescind and reserve **817—Chapter 11.**

ITEM 5. Rescind and reserve **817—Chapter 12.**